POS 6455

Political Parties and Interest Groups

Prof. Michael McDonald <u>Contact Info</u> Office: Anderson 223 E-mail: michael.mcdonald@ufl.edu Phone: 352-273-2371

Office Hours W 10-noon or by appointment

Introduction

Welcome to Political Parties and Interest Groups. The course examines political parties, which are the primary political organizations that put candidates before the voters and organize deliberations within political institutions; and interest groups, which are organizations with typically narrower interests than parties and whose narrower goals are to influence policy making.

Parties are mentioned nowhere in the United States constitution. In his farewell address, George Washington expressed his opposition to political parties shared by many of the Founding Fathers: "...the common & continual mischiefs of the spirit of Party are sufficient to make it the interest and the duty of a wise People to discourage and restrain it." Yet, even in his cabinet, the growing animosity between long-time friends John Adams and Thomas Jefferson would soon develop into the first national political parties. Parties thus play important functions in American democracy, then and today.

This is an exciting time for scholars interested in parties as Donald Trump is in the process of reengineering the Republican Party into his image. Much of the prior literature assumes modern parties to be static, or slow to change. The discontinuous change we are observing challenges many existing theories, which provides an opportunity for old theories to be revised or new theories to emerge.

What might a democracy without parties look like? Much political activity by organized groups in the electorate and government arises through the interest group system. Interest groups, in contrast to political parties, do not directly nominate candidates to run for office and do not play roles in organizing the government. They instead attempt to influence elections and policy making through pressure politics.

Readings

There are a number of readings for the class, many of which can be found on the class Canvas website. Please contact the professor if you cannot access the folder.

Often authors will publish key pieces of books as journal articles or edited volume book chapters. I have attempted to assign these readings where I can to reduce your costs, although I recommend reading the books to prepare for comprehensive exams. There are some books, however, that are required for the class. These are:

- Aldrich, John. 2011. *Why Parties? A Second Look*.
- Morris P. Fiorina, Samuel J. Abrams, and Jeremy C. Pope. 2010. *Culture War? The Myth of a Polarized America, 3rd Edition*.
- Alan I. Abramowitz. 2013. *The Polarized Public: Why American Government Is So Dysfunctional.*
- Olson, Mancur. 1965. *The Logic of Collective Action: Public Goods and the Theory of Groups*.
- Schattschneider, E. E. 1960. *The Semisovereign People: A Realist's View of Democracy in America*.

Attendance Policy

If you do not participate in at least one of the first two class meetings of a course or laboratory in which you are registered, and you have not contacted the department to indicate your intent, you can be dropped from the course. You must not assume that you will be dropped, however. The department will notify you if you have been dropped from a course or laboratory. You can request reinstatement on a space-available basis if you present documented evidence.

The university recognizes the right of the individual professor to make attendance mandatory (which is the case for this class). After due warning, professors can prohibit further attendance and subsequently assign a failing grade for excessive absences.

Grades

Grades consist of three components: article reviews; class participation; and a term paper or final exam. The weighting of the aspects of the grade are:

Assignment	<u>Due</u>	% of Overall Grade
Article/Book Reviews Class Participation	As assigned	20% 10%
Midterm Exam Final Exam	March 14 May 2	35% 35%

Article Reviews (20%)

To facilitate class discussion and to encourage you to think critically about the class readings, you will be assigned readings for which you will write a 1-2 page summary paper about. Your paper is due by noon on the Wednesday prior to the class and should be uploaded to Canvas.

The goal in having you write these papers is to encourage you to be good consumers of research and to encourage best practices of note-taking that are a critical component of preparing for comprehensive exams. Your review should describe the main question that the article addresses, how the author(s) go about researching the question, their findings, and how their work fits into the larger literature. (As the class progresses, the last question will become easier to address.) Your papers may address, but are not limited to, the following additional questions: What are the strengths and weaknesses of the reading, particularly the theory, data, and methods? What next steps might you take in addressing the questions raised in the reading?

At class, you will be responsible for summarizing the reading, raising questions for the class to discuss, and leading class discussion.

I expect students to do all assigned readings. A midterm or final paper that relies heavily on reviews assigned to a student will not be considered 'A' material.

Class Participation (10%)

All students are required to participate in the class discussion, even if they are not assigned to summarize a reading and leading the discussion.

Midterm and Final Exams (35% each)

You will be given two exams in this course, a midterm and a final exam. <u>No</u> <u>collaboration is allowed and will be considered an honor code violation</u>, although you can consult other students' reviews. If you have any questions about the degree of collaboration, please ask the professor first before proceeding. These exams will consist of a to-be-determined number of essay questions.

Optional: Research Paper (70%)

It is customary for students seeking a PhD or writing a masters' thesis to write a research paper in a graduate seminar class. You may choose this option in lieu of the midterm and final papers, but must first receive approval from the professor. Expected format for the paper is 30-pages in 12 point Times New Roman font with 1" margins (the page count includes references/footnotes, but does not include any figures or tables).

Outline of the paper deadlines:

- A one or two paragraph description of your topic (Feb. 7) with a bibliography that may include class reading, but must include at least four books and/or articles from outside the class.
- Draft paper (Due: April 11)
- Final Paper (Due: May 2)

Honor Code

UF students are bound by The Honor Pledge which states, "We, the members of the University of Florida community, pledge to hold ourselves and our peers to the highest standards of honor and integrity by abiding by the Honor Code. On all work submitted for credit by students at the University of Florida, the following pledge is either required or implied: "On my honor, I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid in doing this assignment." The Honor Code (http://www.dso.ufl.edu/sccr/process/student-conduct-honor-code/) specifies a number of behaviors that are in violation of this code and the possible sanctions. Furthermore, you are obligated to report any condition that facilitates academic misconduct to appropriate personnel. If you have any questions or concerns, please consult with the instructor.

Basically, don't cheat. You cheat yourself of your education and more severe punishment may follow. Helping someone else is cheating, too. If you have any questions if your approach to completing an assignment may violate the honor code, please contact the instructor for guidance.

Disability Statement

Students with disabilities requesting accommodations should first register with the Disability Resource Center (352-392-8565, www.dso.ufl.edu/drc/) by providing appropriate documentation. Once registered, students will receive an accommodation letter which must be presented to the instructor when requesting accommodation. Students with disabilities should follow this procedure as early as possible in the semester.

My exams tend to have an essay component. If you are eligible for disability services and your disability leads you to need more time taking an exam, please take advantage of disability services. We cannot undo poor performance on an exam if you did not make disability arrangements in advance.

Evaluations

Students are expected to provide feedback on the quality of instruction in this course by completing online evaluations at https://evaluations.ufl.edu. Evaluations are typically open during the last two or three weeks of the semester, but students will be given specific times when they are open. Summary results of these assessments are available to students at https://evaluations.ufl.edu/results/.

Provisional Nature of Syllabus

I expect that we will follow this syllabus, but the material and sequencing may change as warranted, with advanced notice.

Week 1	Parties in Comparative Perspective
	Parties in Comparative Perspective
Jan 10	Lipset, Seymour Martin and Stein Rokkan. 1967.
	"Cleavage Structure, Party Systems, and Voter
	Alignments," in Party Systems and Voter Alignments:
	Cross-national Perspectives, Seymour Martin Lipset and
	Stein Rokkan, eds.
Week 2	Theories of Interest Groups
Jan 17	Latham, Earl. 1952. "The Group Basis of Politics: Notes
	for a Theory." The American Political Science Review
	46(2): 376-97.
	Putnam, Robert D. 1995. "Bowling Alone: America's
	Declining Social Capital." <i>Journal of Democracy</i> 6(1):
	65-78.
	Olson, Mancur. 1965. <i>The Logic of Collective Action:</i>
	Public Goods and the Theory of Groups.
	• Schattschneider, E. E. 1960. <i>The Semisovereign People:</i>
	A Realist's View of Democracy in America.
Week 3	Theories of Political Parties
Jan 24	• Federalist #10, #51
	 Aldrich, John. 2011. Why Parties? A Second Look.
	(Chapters 1 & 2).
	American Political Science Association's Committee on
	Political Parties. 1950. "Toward a More Responsible
	Two-Party System: A Report of the Committee on
	Political Parties." American Political Science Review
	44(Sept. Supplement): Part I.
	 Anthony Downs. 1957. "An Economic Theory of Political
	Action in a Democracy" <i>The Journal of Political Economy</i>
	65(2):135-150. (A condensed version of his book, <i>An</i>
	Economic Theory of Democracy.)
Week 4	Parties as Organizations
Jan 31	• Aldrich, John. 2011. <i>Why Parties? A Second Look</i> .
	(Chapter 3).
	Brown, M. Craig and Charles N. Halaby. 1987. "Machine
	Politics in America, 1870-1945" The Journal of
	Interdisciplinary History 17(3): 587-612.
	• Frendreis, John P., James L. Gibson and Laura L. Vertz.
	1990. "The Electoral Relevance of Local Party
	Organizations." The American Political Science Review
	84(1): 225-235.
	 Bawn, Kathleen, Martin Cohena, David Karola, Seth
	Masketa, Hans Noela and John Zallera. 2012. "A Theory
	of Political Parties: Groups, Policy Demands and
	Nominations in American Politics." <i>Perspectives on</i>
	<i>Politics</i> 10(3):571-597.
	Rudnick, Nicholas and Michael P. McDonald. 2019.
	"Planting the Seeds of Change: Non-Southern Migrants

	and the Crowth of the Republican Party in the Postwar
	and the Growth of the Republican Party in the Postwar South". Manuscript.
Week 5	
Week 5 Feb 7	 Parties in the Electorate Campbell, Angus, Phillip E. Converse, Warren E. Miller, and Donald E. Stokes. 1960. <i>The American Voter</i>. (Chapters 6, 7 & 10). Chicago, IL: University Of Chicago Press. Key, V. O. Jr. 1955. "A Theory of Critical Elections." <i>The Journal of Politics</i> 17(1): 3-18. Jennings, M. Kent and Richard G. Niemi. 1968. "The Transmission of Political Values from Parent to Child" <i>The American Political Science Review</i> 62(1): 169-184. Michael B. MacKuen, Robert S. Erikson, James A. Stimson. 2000. "Macropartisanship." <i>The American Political Science Review</i> 83(4): 1125-1142. Larry M. Bartels. 2000. "Partisanship and Voting Behavior, 1952-1996." <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> 44(1): 35-50. Grossman, Matt and David A. Hopkins. 2015. "Ideological Republicans and Group Interest Democrats: Asymmetry of American Politics." <i>Perspectives on Politics</i>
	13(1): 119-39.
Week 6	How Voters Use Party
Feb 14	 Campbell, Converse, Miller, and Stokes. 1960. <i>The</i> <i>American voter</i>. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., (Chapter 2). Milton Lodge and Ruth Hamill. 1986. "A Partisan Schema for Political Information Processing." <i>The American</i> <i>Political Science Review</i> 80(2): 505-520. Richard R. Lau, David P. Redlawsk. 2001. "Advantages and Disadvantages of Cognitive Heuristics in Political Decision Making." <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> 45(4): 951-971. Bartels, Larry M. 2002. "Beyond the Running Tally: Partisan Bias in Political Perceptions." <i>Political Behavior</i> 24(2): 117-150. Carsey, Thomas M. and Geoffrey C. Layman. 2006. "Changing Sides or Changing Minds? Party Identification and Policy Preferences in the American Electorate." <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> 50(2): 464-477.
Week 7 Feb 21	 Party Labels and Elections Jonathan Woon and Jeremy C. Pope. 2008. "Made in Congress? Testing the Electoral Implications of Party Ideological Brand Names." <i>The Journal of Politics</i> 70(3): 823-836. Vavreck, Lynn. 2001. "The Reasoning Voters Meets the Strategic Candidate: Signals and Specificity in Campaign Advertising, 1998." <i>American Politics Research</i> 29(5):

	 507–29. Neiheisel, Jacob R. and Sarah Niebler. 2013. "The Use of Party Brand Labels in Congressional Election Campaigns." <i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> 38(3): 377- 403. Jamie L. Carson, Gregory Koger, Matthew J. Lebo, Everett Young. 2010. "The Electoral Costs of Party Loyalty in Congress." <i>American Journal of Political</i> <i>Science</i> 54(3): 598-616. Arceneaux, Kevin and David W. Nickerson. 2010. "Negative and Positive Campaign Messages: Evidence from Two Field Experiments." American Politics Research 38(1): 54-83.
Week 8	Representation: Parties and Electoral Rules
Feb 28	 Tufte, Edward R. 1973. "The Relationship between Seats and Votes in Two-Party Systems." <i>The American Political Science Review</i> 67(2): 540-554. Stephen Ansolabehere, James M. Snyder, Jr., Charles Stewart, III. 2000. "Candidate Positioning in U.S. House Elections" <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> 45(1): 136-159. Erikson, Robert S. Gerald C. Wright Jr., John P. McIver. 1989. "Political Parties, Public Opinion, and State Policy in the United States." <i>The American Political Science Review</i> 83(3): 729-50.
Week 9	SPRING BREAK
March 7	
Week 10 March 14	 The Great Polarization in the Electorate Debate Morris P. Fiorina, Samuel J. Abrams, and Jeremy C. Pope. 2010. <i>Culture War? The Myth of a Polarized</i> <i>America, 3rd Edition</i>. Alan I. Abramowitz. 2013. <i>The Polarized Public: Why</i>
	American Government Is So Dysfunctional.
Week 11 March 21	 Theories of Parties in Congress Aldrich, John. Why Parties? (Chapters 7 & 8) Cooper, Joseph and David W. Brady. 1981. "Institutional Context and Leadership Style: The House from Cannon to Rayburn." <i>The American Political Science Review</i> 75(2): 411-425. Keith Krehbiel. 1993. "Where's the Party?" <i>British Journal of Political Science</i> 23(2): 235-266. David W. Rohde. 1994. "Parties and Committees in the House: Member Motivations, Issues, and Institutional Arrangements." <i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> 19(3): 341-359.
Week 12	Parties and Legislative Behavior
March 28	

	<i>Polity</i> 39(1): 29-54.
	 Lowery, David. 2007. "Why do Organized Interests Lobby? A Multi-Goal, Multi-Context Theory of Lobbying."
	Interests and the Decision of Whom to Lobby in Congress." <i>The American Political Science Review</i> 92(4): 775-90.
	 Interest Group Involvement in National Politics." <i>The Journal of Politics</i> 63(4): 1191-213. Hojnacki, Marie, and David C. Kimball. 1998. "Organized
	 100(1): 69-84. Baumgartner, Frank R., and Beth L. Leech. 2001. "Interest Niches and Policy Bandwagons: Patterns of
	 Science 38(1): 25-44. Richard Hall and Alan Deardorff. 2006. "Lobbying and Legislative Subsidy." <i>American Political Science Review</i>
April 11	 Austen-Smith, David, and John R. Wright. 1994. "Counteractive Lobbying." <i>American Journal of Political</i>
Week 14	Interest Groups and Lobbying
	Interest Group System." <i>Journal of Politics</i> 46(3): 1006-32.
	 Schlozman, Kay Lehman. 1984. "What Accent the Heavenly Chorus? Political Equity and the American
	of Interest Representation." <i>Journal of Politics</i> 58(1): 91-111.
	22(2): 89-108.Gray, Virginia, and David Lowery. 1996. "A Niche Theory
	and Interest Group Membership." Political Behavior
	79(1): 79-96.
	Hansen, John Mark. 1985. "The Political Economy of Group Membership." <i>American Political Science Review</i>
	<i>Review</i> 77(2) 390-406.
April 4	 Walker, Jack L. 1983. "The Origins and Maintenance of Interest Groups in America." <i>American Political Science</i>
Week 13	Interest Group Membership and Organization
	Gridlock, 1947-96." <i>The American Political Science</i> <i>Review</i> 93(3): 519-533.
	• Binder, Sarah A. 1999. "The Dynamics of Legislative
	on Congressional Roll-Call Voting." <i>Legislative Studies</i> <i>Quarterly</i> 26(4): 533-572.
	Stewart III. 2001. "The Effects of Party and Preferences
	 Party." <i>The Journal of Politics</i> 61(3): 815-831. Stephen Ansolabehere, James M. Snyder, Jr., Charles
	Maltzman. 1999. "Uncovering the Hidden Effect of
	 Binder, Sarah A, and Eric D, Lawrence and Forrest

	 Calls: An Organizational Perspective." American Political Science Review 79(2): 400-14. Hall, Richard L., and Frank W. Wayman. 1990. "Buying Time: Moneyed Interests and the Mobilization of Bias in Congressional Committees." <i>The American Political Science Review</i> 84(3): 797-820. Esterling, Keven M. 2007. "Buying Expertise: Campaign Contributions and Attention to Policy Analysis in Congressional Committees." <i>American Political Science Review</i> 101(1): 3-110. Boehmke, Frederick, and Richard Witmer. 2012. "Indian Nations as Interest Groups: Tribal Motivations for Contributions to US Senators." <i>Political Science Quarterly</i> 65(1):179-191. Victor, Jennifer Nicoll. 2012. "Gridlock Lobbying: Breaking, Creating and Maintaining Legislative Stalemate" in <i>Interest Group Politics</i>, Cigler and Loomis eds.
Week 17 May 2	Thursday: FINAL @ 7:30-9:30am