INR 6337 - Class 21244 - Section 213B - UF - SPRING 2023

SURVEY OF INTERNATIONAL SECURITY
Meeting Room: Anderson Hall 216
(Permanent Room: UST 105)
Meeting Time: Wed: Periods 2-4.

Prof. Badredine Arfi Phone: (352) 273 2357 email: barfi@ufl.edu

Office hours: Wed 2:00-4:00pm

DESCRIPTION

This course is designed as a focused/limited introductory survey to a variety of approaches and topics in the study of international security. The rationale for the course teaching strategy is rooted in a concern that it has become a "fact of life" in the study of international relations (IR) and international security (IS) that IR/IS courses would normally be expected to begin by spending a number of weeks "recycling" different brands or variations of realist, (neo-)liberal, and mainstream (thin) constructivist thinking, etc. This course does not follow such a path. Therefore, as a way of alerting students to these lingering issues as well as equipping them with effective tools which they will definitely find useful as future scholars dealing with IR/IS issues, this course focuses on the value-added of many bodies of literature in IR/IS and how they have transpired specifically in the scholarship about international security. Overall Security Studies as a discipline has evolved into a collection of approaches, most (if not all) of which are united by a profound dissatisfaction with socalled traditional security studies by constantly questioning the foundations upon which the dominant state-centrism and military-centrism of security is built and the lack of attention to a much broader definition of security. The course thus seeks to explicate key assumptions underpinning many of these approaches as well as explore just how and in what ways they challenge traditional security studies, and in what ways they compare and contrast with each other. The course does this using an issue-areas based strategy so that we simultaneously consider these issue-areas and how various theoretical approaches and schools of IR/IS theory investigate them.

REQUIREMENTS

- Students are required to "digest" thoroughly the weekly readings before coming to class and come prepared to fully discuss the readings in depth and share their insights with the rest of the class.
- Each student is required to make a number of power point presentations (in group) and lead the class discussions therewith.
- Each student is required to submit two questions every week on the required readings to be shared with the class. The questions are to be uploaded to canvas in a file every week prior to class. No late submission will be accepted.
- Students are required to write a research paper on a topic of international security
 of their choice using one (or more) of the approaches examined in the course. See
 details down below.
- All students will be presenting their respective research papers to the class at a date/time to be specified later.

GRADING POLICY

- Weekly Presentations: 40% of the final grade.
- Research paper: 40% of the final grade
- Weekly questions: 10% of the final grade.
- Presenting the research paper: 10% of the final grade

Note: Late papers will not be accepted except for document-justified reasons.

REQUIRED READINGS

- 1. Articles and book chapters posted on canvas (organized by themes and posted in a 'Required' folder per each week).
- 2. Note that there is a set of additional recommended readings for each week (posted in 'Recommended' folders). Students are highly encouraged to read at least some of these if only in a brief manner.

SPECIFICS ON THE RESEARCH PAPER

In order for the instructor to provide timely and useful guidance on the research paper, students will be required to turn in through canvas various brief intermediate papers throughout the semester.

Each student must:

- Define a research question that interests him/her and that applies a security approach (broadly speaking) from the materials covered in this course. Submit the research question and an abstract. Date: Feb 1st
- Submit a 2-3 page summary of the proposed research and expected results. Date: Feb 15th
- 3. Submit a 6-8 page paper discussing the relevant literature to the research question (empirical as well as theoretical). **Date: March 22nd**
- 4. The final paper should be 15-20 pages long, including the bibliography. **Date: TBD**

IMPORTANT NOTES

- The instructor reserves the right to change any part or aspect of this document should a need for doing so emerge at any point in time during the semester.
- All students are required to abide by UF standards of academic honesty laid out in the Student Honor Code, posted at http://www.dso.ufl.edu/sccr/process/student-conduct-honor-code/

READING ASSIGNMENTS

Theme 1 / January 11: What Is Security? Part I

- 1. Herz, John H. 1950. Idealist Internationalism and the Security Dilemma. World Politics 2 (2):157-180.
- 2. Walt. Stephen. 1991. The Renaissance of Security Studies. <u>International Studies</u> <u>Quarterly</u> 35 (2): 211-239.
- 3. David A. Baldwin. 1997. The Concept of Security. <u>Review of International Studies</u> 23: 5-26.
- 4. Huysmans, Jef. 1998. Security! What Do You Mean? From Concept to Thick Signifier. <u>European Journal of International Relations</u> 4 (2): 226–255.
- 5. Eriksson, Johan. 1999. Observers or Advocates? On the Political Role of Security Analysts Cooperation and Conflict 34 (3): 311–330.
- 6. Liotta, P. H. 2000. Through the Looking Glass: Creeping Vulnerabilities and the Reordering of Security. <u>Security Dialogue</u> 36 (1): 49–70.
- 7. Farrell, Theo. 2002. Constructivist Security Studies: Portrait of a Research Program. International Studies Review 4 (1: Spring): 49-72.

Theme 2/ January 18: What is Security? Part II.

- 1. Beier, J. Marshall and Samantha L. Arnold. 2005. Becoming Undisciplined: Toward the Supradisciplinary Study of Security. <u>International Studies Review</u> 7: 41–61.
- 2. Barkawi, Tarak and Mark Laffey. 2006. The Postcolonial Moment in Security Studies. Review of International Studies 32: 329–352.
- 3. Krahmann, Elke. 2008. Security: Collective Good or Commodity? <u>European Journal of International Relations</u> 14 (3): 379-404.
- 4. Anderson, Ben. 2011. Affect and Security: Exercising Emergency in `UK Civil Contingencies'. Environment and Planning D: Society and Space 29: 1092-1109.
- 5. Gjørv, Gunhild Hoogensen. 2012. Security by any Other Name: Negative Security, Positive Security, and a Multi-Actor Security Approach. Review of International Studies 38: 835–859.
- 6. Silina, Everita. 2016. "Being Critical About Security: What Critical Political Economy Says about Security and Identity." In: Cafruny A., Talani L., Pozo Martin G. (eds) The Palgrave Handbook of Critical International Political Economy, 163-180. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- 7. True, Jacqui and Antje Wiener. 2019. Everyone Wants (a) Peace: The Dynamics of Rhetoric and Practice on 'Women, Peace and Security'. <u>International Affairs</u> 95 (3): 553-574.
- 8. Lusk, Adam. 2019. Moving Beyond the CNN Effect or Stuck in the Middle? How Relational Sociology Remaps Media and Security Studies. <u>International Studies Review</u> 21: 1–11.
- 9. Glouftsios, Georgios and Matthias Leese. 2023. Epistemic fusion: Passenger Information Units and the making of international security. Review of International Studies 49 (1): 125-142.

Theme 3/ January 25: Logics of Might/Power, and Stories

- 1. Glaser, Charles. 1994-95. Realists as Optimists: Cooperation as Self-Help. <u>International Security</u> 19 (Winter): 50-90.
- 2. Suganami, Hidemi. 1997. Stories of War Origins: A Narrativist Theory of the Causes of War. Review of International Studies 23: 401–418.
- 3. Walt, Stephen M. 1999. Rigor or Rigor Mortis? Rational Choice and Security Studies. <u>International Security</u> 23 (4): 5-48.
- 4. Atkinson, Carol. 2006. Constructivist Implications of Material Power: Military Engagement and the Socialization of States, 1972-2000. <u>International Studies Quarterly</u> 50: 509-537.
- 5. Tang, Shiping. 2009. The Security Dilemma: A Conceptual Analysis. <u>Security Studies</u> 18 (3): 587-623.
- 6. Schweller, Randall L. 2010. The logic and Illogic of the Security Dilemma and Contemporary Realism: A Response to Wagner's Critique. <u>International Theory</u> 2 (2): 288–305.
- 7. Kim, Tongfi. 2011. Why Alliance Entangle but Seldom Entrap States. <u>Security Studies</u> 20 (3): 350-377.
- 8. Hamilton, Eric J. and Brian C. Rathbun. 2013. Scarce Differences: Toward a Material and Systemic Foundation for Offensive and Defensive Realism. <u>Security Studies</u> 22:436–465.
- 9. Goddard, Stacie E. 2018. The Politics of Legitimacy: How a Rising Power's Right Makes Might. In: When Right Makes Might: Rising Powers and World Order, 16-46. Cornell University Press.

Theme 4/ February 1: Terrorism - Phenomena, Discourses, Strategies, Policies

- 1. Pape, Robert A. 2003. The Strategic Logic of Suicide Terrorism. <u>American Political Science Review</u> 97 (3): 343-361.
- 2. Kydd, Andrew H. and Barbara Walter. 2006. Strategies of Terrorism. <u>International Security</u> 31 (1): 49-80.
- 3. Moghadam, Assaf. 2006. Suicide Terrorism, Occupation, and the Globalization of Martyrdom: A Critique of Dying to Win. <u>Studies in Conflict and Terrorism</u> 29 (8): 707-729
- 4. Piazza, James A. 2008. Incubators of Terror? Do Failed and Failing States Promote Transnational Terrorism. <u>International Studies Quarterly</u> 52 (3): 469-488.
- 5. Horowitz, Michael C. 2010. Nonstate Actors and the Diffusion of Innovations: The Case of Suicide Terrorism. <u>International Organization</u> 64 (1): 33-64.
- 6. Chowdhury, Arjun and Ronald R. Krebs. 2010. Talking about Terror: Counterterrorist Campaigns and the Logic of Representation. <u>European Journal of International Relations</u> 16 (1): 125-150.
- 7. Zech, Steven T. and Michael Gabbay. 2016. Social Network Analysis in the Study of Terrorism and Insurgency: From Organization to Politics. <u>International Studies Review</u> 18: 214–243.
- 8. Jarvis, Lee and Tim Legrand. 2017. 'I Am Somewhat Puzzled': Questions, Audiences and Securitization in the Proscription of Terrorist Organizations. <u>Security Dialogue</u> 48 (2) 149–167.
- 9. Avdan, Nazli and Mariya Omelicheva. 2021. Human Trafficking- Terrorism Nexus: When Violent Non-State Actors Engage in the Modern- Day Slavery. <u>Journal of Conflict Resolution</u> 65 (9): 1576-1606.

Theme 5/ February 8: Security Institutions

- 1. Mearsheimer, John J. 1994/1995. The False Promise of International Institutions. <u>International Security</u> 19 (3): 5-49.
- 2. Kupchan, Charles and Clifford Kupchan. 1995. The Promise of Collective Security. <u>International Security</u> 20 (1): 52-61.
- 3. Alagappa, Muthiah. 1997. Regional Institutions, the UN and International Security: A Framework for Analysis. <u>Third World Quarterly</u> 18 (3): 421- 441.
- 4. Bøås, Morten. 2000. Security Communities: Whose Security? <u>Cooperation and Conflict</u> 35 (3): 309-319.
- 5. Lake, David A. 2001. Beyond Anarchy: The Importance of Security Institutions. <u>International Security</u> 26 (1): 129-160.
- 6. Pouliot, Vincent. 2008. The Logic of Practicality: A Theory of Practice of Security Communities. <u>International Organization</u> 62 (2): 257-288.
- 7. Engelbrekt, Kjell. 2016. "A Puzzle and Conceptual Framework." In: <u>High-Table Diplomacy: The Reshaping of International Security Institutions</u>, chap. 1. Wash DC: Georgetown University Press.
- 8. Oates, John G. 2016. The Fourth Face of Legitimacy: Constituent Power and the Constitutional Legitimacy of International Institutions. <u>Review of International Studies</u> 43 (2): 199–220.
- 9. Feraru, Atena S. 2018. Regime Security and Regional Cooperation among Weak States. <u>International Studies Review</u> 20: 101–126.
- 10. Haftel, Yoram Z. and Stephanie C. Hofmann. 2019. Rivalry and Overlap: Why Regional Economic Organizations Encroach on Security Organizations. <u>Journal of Conflict Resolution</u> 63 (9); 2180-2206.
- 11. Karlsrud, John and Yf Reykers. 2020. Ad hoc Coalitions and Institutional Exploitation in International Security: Towards a Typology. <u>Third World Quarterly</u> 41 (9): 1518-1536.
- **12.** Abdenu, Adriana Erthal. 2021. Climate and security: UN agenda-setting and the 'Global South'. Third World OuarTerly 42 (9): 2074–2085.
- 13. Han, Zhen Han and Mihaela Papa. 2022. Brazilian Alliance Perspectives: Towards a BRICS Development-Security Alliance? Third World Quarterly 43 (5): 1115-1136.

Theme 6/ February 15: Ontological Security

- 1. Mitzen, Jennifer. 2006. Ontological Security in World Politics: State Identity and the Security Dilemma. <u>European Journal of International Relations</u> 12 (3): 341–370.
- 2. Steele, Brent J. 2008. <u>Ontological Security in International Relations: Self-identity and the IR State</u>. New York: Routledge; chaps. 1-3.
- 3. Rossdale, Chris. 2015. Enclosing Critique: The Limits of Ontological Security. <u>International Political Sociology</u> 9:369–386.
- 4. Subotic, Jelena. 2016. Narrative, Ontological Security, and Foreign Policy Change. Foreign Policy Analysis 12: 610-627.
- 5. Shani, Giorgio. 2017. Human Security as Ontological Security: A Post-Colonial Approach. <u>Postcolonial Studies</u> 20 (3): 275-293.
- 6. Browning, Christopher S. and Pertti Joenniemi. 2017. Ontological Security, Self-articulation and the Securitization of Identity. <u>Cooperation and Conflict</u> 52 (1): 31-47.

- 7. Pratt, Simon Frankel. 2017. A Relational View of Ontological Security in International Relations. <u>International Studies Ouarterly</u> 61: 78–85.
- 8. Solomon, Ty. 2017. Ontological Security, Circulations of Affect, and the Arab Spring. <u>Journal of International Relations and Development</u> 21: 934–958.
- 9. Kinnvall, Catarina and Jennifer Mitzen. 2020. Anxiety, Fear, and Ontological Security in World Politics. <u>International Theory</u> 12: 238-239.
- 10. Kinnvall, Catarina and Jennifer Mitzen. 2020. Anxiety, Fear, and Ontological Security in World Politics: Thinking with and Beyond Giddens. <u>International Theory</u> 12: 240-256.
- 11. Rumelili, Bahar. 2020. Integrating Anxiety into International Relations Theory: Hobbes, Existentialism, and Ontological Security. <u>International Theory</u> 12: 257-272.
- 12. Arfi, Badredine. 2020. Security qua Existential Surviving (while Becoming Otherwise) through Performative Leaps of Faith. <u>International Theory</u> 12: 291–305.

Theme 7/ February 22: Securitization

- 1. Williams, Michael C. 2003. Words, Images, Enemies: Securitization and International Politics. <u>International Studies Quarterly</u> 47: 511–531.
- 2. Balzacq, Thierry. 2005. The Three Faces of Securitization: Political Agency, Audience and Context. <u>European Journal of International Relations</u> 11 (2): 171-201.
- 3. Stritzel, Holger. 2007. Towards a Theory of Securitization: Copenhagen and Beyond. <u>European Journal of International Relations</u> 13 (3): 357-383.
- 4. Guzzini, Stefano. 2011. Securitization as a Causal Mechanism. <u>Security Dialogue</u> 42 (4-5):329-341.
- 5. Roe, Paul. 2012. Is Securitization a 'Negative' Concept? Revisiting the Normative Debate over Normal versus Extraordinary Politics. <u>Security Dialogue</u> 43 (3): 249-266.
- 6. Sheikh, Mona Kanwal. 2014. The Religious Challenge to Securitisation Theory. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 43 (1): 252-272.
- 7. Rythoven, Eric Van. 2015. Learning to Feel, Learning to Fear? Emotions, Imaginaries, and Limits in the Politics of Securitization. <u>Security Dialogue</u> 46 (5): 458-475.
- 8. Balzacq, Thierry, Sarah Léonard, and Jan Ruzicka. 2016. 'Securitization' Revisited: Theory and Cases. <u>International Relations</u> 30 (4): 494–531.
- 9. Marchand, Marianne H. 2017. Crossing Borders in North America after 9/11: 'Regular' Travellers' Narratives of Securitisations and Contestations. Third World Quarterly 38 (6): 1232-1248.
- 10. Oliveira, Gilberto Carvalho. 2017. The Causal Power of Securitisation: An Inquiry into the Explanatory Status of Securitisation Theory Illustrated by the Case of Somali Piracy. Review of International Studies 44 (3): 504–525.
- 11. Guillaume, Xavier. 2018. How to Do Things with Silence: Rethinking the Centrality of Speech to the Securitization Framework. <u>Security Dialogue</u> 49 (6): 476–492.
- 12. Stengel, Frank A. 2019. Securitization as Discursive (Re)Articulation: Explaining the Relative Effectiveness of Threat Construction. New Political Science 41 (2): 294-312.
- 13. Choi, Eunyoung Christina and Seo Yeon Park. 2020. Threatened or Threatening?: Securitization of the Yemeni Asylum Seekers in South Korea. <u>Asian Journal of Peacebuilding</u> 8 (1): 5-28.
- 14. Kaunert , Christian, Sarah Leonard and Ori Wertman. 2022. Securitization of COVID-19 as a Security Norm: WHO Norm Entrepreneurship and Norm Cascading. *Social Sciences* 2022, *11*, 266.

Theme 8/ March 1: Human Security

- 1. Paris, Roland. 2001. Human Security: Paradigm Shift or Hot Air? <u>International Security</u> 26 (2): 87-102.
- 2. Newman, Edward. 2001. Human Security and Constructivism. <u>International Studies Perspectives</u> 2: 239–251.
- 3. Hoogensen, Gunhild & Kirsti Stuvøy. 2006. Gender, Resistance and Human Security. Security Dialogue 37 (2): 207-228.
- 4. Christie, Ryerson. 2010. Critical Voices and Human Security: To Endure, To Engage or To Critique? Security Dialogue 41 (2): 169-190.
- 5. Kurusu, Kaoru. 2011. Japan as an Active Agent for Global Norms: The Political Dynamism Behind the Acceptance and Promotion of "Human Security". <u>Asia-Pacific Review</u> 18 (2): 115-137.
- 6. Owens, Patricia. 2012. Human Security and the Rise of the Social. <u>Review of International Studies</u> 38: 547–567.
- 7. Peterson, Jenny H. 2013. Creating Space for Emancipatory Human Security: Liberal Obstructions and the Potential of Agonism. <u>International Studies Quarterly</u> 57: 318-328
- 8. Caballero-Anthony, Mely. 2015. Community Security: Human Security at 21. <u>Contemporary Politics</u> 21 (1): 3–69.
- 9. Sukarieh, Mayssoun and Stuart Tannock. 2018. The Global Securitisation of Youth. Third World Quarterly 39 (5): 854–870.
- 10. Peou, Sorpong. 2019. Human Security after 25 Years: Some Introductory Remarks and Critical Reflections. <u>Asian Journal of Peacebuilding</u> 7 (2): 161-181.
- 11. Howe, Brendan. 2019. Human Security, Peacebuilding, and the Responsibility to Protect in East Asia. <u>Asian Journal of Peacebuilding</u> 7 (2): 183-218.
- 12. Aning, Kwesi and Ernest Ansah Lartey. 2019. Governance Perspectives of Human Security in Africa. Asian Journal of Peacebuilding 7 (2): 219-237.
- 13. Berdal, Mats. 2019. Revisiting the "Responsibility to Protect" and the Use of Force. Asian Journal of Peacebuilding 7 (2): 237-264.
- 14. Albert, Craig, Amado Baez and Joshua Rutland. 2021. Human security as biosecurity. Reconceptualizing national security threats in the time of COVID-19. <u>Politics and the Life Sciences</u> 40 (1): 83-105.
- **15.** Lekunze, Manu. 2022. Maritime strategy in Africa: strategic flaws exposing Africa to vulnerabilities from food insecurity to external domination. Third World Quarterly 43:12, 2852-2868.
- 16. Châteauvert-Gagnon, Béatrice. 2022. 'How dare she?!': Parrhesiastic resistance and the logics of protection of/in international security. Security Dialogue 53(4) 281–301.

Theme 9/ March 8: Logic of Nuclear (In)Security

- 1. Tannenwald, Nina. 2005. Stigmatizing the Bomb: Origins of the Nuclear Taboo. International Security 29 (4): 5-49.
- 2. Becker, Una, et al. 2008. Democracy and Nuclear Arms Control Destiny or Ambiguity? Security Studies 17 (4): 810-854.
- 3. Lieber, Keir A. and Daryl G. Press. 2013. Why States Won't Give Nuclear Weapons to Terrorists. International Security 38 (1): 80–104.

- 4. Gavin, Francis J. 2015. Strategies of Inhibition Francis J. Gavin: U.S. Grand Strategy, the Nuclear Revolution, and Nonproliferation. International Security 40 (1, Summer): 9-46.
- 5. Das, Runa. 2017. A Post-colonial Analysis of India-United States Nuclear Security: Orientalism, Discourse, and Identity in International Relations. <u>Journal of Asian and African Studies</u> 52 (6): 741-759.
- 6. Gartzke, Erik and Matthew Kroenig. 2017. Social Scientific Analysis of Nuclear Weapons: Past Scholarly Successes, Contemporary Challenges, and Future Research Opportunities. <u>Journal of Conflict Resolution</u> 61 (9): 1853-1874.
- 7. Lupovici, Amir. 2019. Toward a Securitization Theory of Deterrence. <u>International Studies Quarterly</u> 63: 177–186.
- 8. Kopeč, Rafał. 2019. Space Deterrence: In Search of a "Magical Formula". <u>Space Policy</u> 47: 121-129.
- 9. Mccrisken, Trevor and Maxwell Downman. 2019. 'Peace through strength': Europe and NATO deterrence beyond the US Nuclear Posture Review. <u>International Affairs</u> 95 (2): 277–295.
- 10. de Oliveira, Henrique Altemani. 2019. Japan: A Nuclear State? Revista Brasileira de Política Internacional 62 (1): 1-21.
- 11. Khan, Zafar. 2019. Balancing and Stabilizing South Asia: Challenges and Opportunities for Sustainable Peace and Stability. <u>International Journal of Conflict Management</u> 30 (5): 589-614.
- 12. Gheorghe, Eliza. 2019. Proliferation and the Logic of the Nuclear Market. International Security 43 (4): 88-127.
- 13. Schneider, Jonas. 2020. The Study of Leaders in Nuclear Proliferation and How to Reinvigorate It. <u>International Studies Review</u> 22: 1-25.
- 14. Cohen, Michael D. and Aaron Rapport. 2020. Strategic Surprise, Nuclear Proliferation and US Foreign Policy. <u>European Journal of International Relations</u> 26 (2): 344-371.
- 15. Sukin, Lauren. 2020. Credible Nuclear Security Commitments Can Backfire: Explaining Domestic Support for Nuclear Weapons Acquisition in South Korea. <u>Journal of Conflict Resolution</u> 64 (6): 1011-1042.
- 16. Smetana, Michael and Carmen Wunderlich. 2021. Forum: Nonuse of Nuclear Weapons in World Politics: Toward the Third Generation of "Nuclear Taboo" Research. International Studies Review 23, 1072–1099.
- **17.** Mälksoo, Maria. 2021. A ritual approach to deterrence: I am, therefore I deter. European Journal of International Relations 27 (1): 53-78.

Montreal: ISA Conference: Mon, Mar 13, 2023 - Sun, Mar 19, 2023

Theme 10/ March 22: Peacekeeping, Peace Building and Intervention

- 1. Barnett, Michael. 2006. Building a Republican Peace: Stabilizing States After War. <u>International Security</u> 30 (4): 87-112.
- 2. Autesserre, Séverine. 2009. Hobbes and the Congo: Frames, Local Violence, and International Intervention. <u>International Organization</u> 63 (2): 249-280.
- 3. Paris, Roland. 2014. The 'Responsibility to Protect' and the Structural Problems of Preventive Humanitarian Intervention. <u>International Peacekeeping</u> 21 (5):569-603.
- 4. Peter, Mateja. 2015. Between Doctrine and Practice: The UN Peacekeeping Dilemma. Global Governance 21: 351-370.

- 5. Karim, Sabrina and Kyle Beardsley. 2016. Explaining Sexual Exploitation and Abuse in Peacekeeping Missions: The Role of Female Peacekeepers and Gender Equality in Contributing Countries. <u>Journal of Peace Research</u> 53 (1): 100–115.
- 6. Randazzo, Elisa. 2016. The paradoxes of the 'Everyday': Scrutinising the Local Turn in Peace Building. <u>Third World Quarterly</u> 37 (8): 1351–1370.
- 7. Donais, Timothy and Erin McCandless. 2017. International Peace Building and the Emerging Inclusivity Norm. Third World Quarterly 38 (2); 291–310.
- 8. Sandler, Todd. 2017. International Peacekeeping Operations: Burden Sharing and Effectiveness. <u>Journal of Conflict Resolution</u> 61 (9): 1875-1897.
- 9. Hegre, Håvard, Lisa Hultman, and Håvard Mokleiv Nygård. 2018. Evaluating the Conflict-Reducing Effect of UN Peacekeeping Operations. <u>The Journal of Politics</u> 81 (1): 215-232.
- 10. Coleman Katharina P. and Benjamin Nyblade. 2018. Peacekeeping for profit? The scope and limits of 'mercenary' UN peacekeeping. <u>Journal of Peace Research</u> 55 (6): 726–741.
- 11. Horne, Cale and Morgan Barney. 2019. The Impact of UN Peacekeeping Operations on Human Trafficking. <u>International Migration</u> 57 (5): 3-20.
- 12. Jett, Dennis. 2020. Why Peacekeeping Fails. Middle East Policy XXVI (1): 89-96.
- 13. Williams, Paul D. 2020. The Security Council's Peacekeeping Trilemma. <u>International</u> <u>Affairs</u> 96 (2): 479-499.
- 14. Krishnan, S. 2020. UN Peacekeeping, Responsibility to Protect and Humanitarian Intervention. <u>India Quarterly</u> 7 6(1): 120–135.
- 15. Pattison, James. 2021. The International Responsibility to Protect in a Post-Liberal Order. <u>International Studies Ouarterly</u> 65: 891–904

Theme 11/ March 29: Energy and Other Security Matters

- 1. Glaser, Charles L. 2013. How Oil Influences U.S. National Security. <u>International Security</u> 38 (2): 112-146.
- 2. Colgan, Jeff D. 2013. Fueling the Fire Pathways from Oil to War. <u>International Security</u> 38 (2): 147–180.
- 3. Griffin, James M. 2015. Petro-Nationalism: The Futile Search for Oil Security. <u>The Energy Journal</u> 36: 25-41.
- 4. Lind, Jennifer and Daryl G. Press. 2018. Markets of Mercantilism? How China Secures Its Energy Supplies. <u>International Security</u> 42 (4): 170-204.
- 5. Krane, Jim and Kenneth B. Medlock III. 2018. Geopolitical Dimensions of US Oil Security. Energy Policy 114: 558-565.
- 6. Vakulchuk, Roman, Indra Overland and Daniel Scholten. 2020. Renewable energy and geopolitics: A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 122: 1-12.
- 7. Liu, Litao, Zhi Cao, Xiaojie Liu, Lei Shi, Shengkui Cheng, and Gang Liu. 2020. Oil Security Revisited: An Assessment Based on Complex Network Analysis. <u>Energy</u> 194: 1-13.
- 8. Doran, Charles E. 2020. World Oil Security on a Precipice. Brown Journal of World Affairs XXVI (2): 1-15.

Theme 12/ April 5: Cyber Security

- 1. Kello, Lucas. 2013. The Meaning of the Cyber Revolution: Perils to Theory and Statecraft. <u>International Security</u> 38 (2): 7–40.
- 2. Gartzke, Erik. 2013. The Myth of Cyberwar: Bringing War in Cyberspace Back Down to Earth. <u>International Security</u> 38 (2): 41–73.

- 3. Lindsay, Jon R. 2014/2015. The Impact of China on Cybersecurity: Fiction and Friction. International Security 39 (3):7-47.
- 4. Lobato, Luísa Cruz and Kai Michael Kenkel. 2015. Discourses of cyberspace securitization in Brazil and in the United States. <u>Revista Brasileira de Política Internacional</u> 58 (2): 23-43.
- 5. Eun, Yong-Soo and Judith Sita Aßmann. 2016. Cyberwar: Taking Stock of Security and Warfare in the Digital Age. <u>International Studies Perspectives</u> 17: 343–360.
- 6. Brantly, Aaron. 2017. Innovation and Adaptation in Jihadist Digital Security. Survival: Global Politics and Strategy 59 (1): 79–102.
- 7. Wanglai, Gao. 2018. BRICS Cybersecurity Cooperation: Achievements and Deepening Paths. China International Studies January/February: 124-139.
- 8. Ronald J. Deibert. 2018. Toward a Human-Centric Approach to Cybersecurity. <u>Ethics & International Affairs</u> 32 (4): 411-424.
- 9. Timmers, Paul. 2019. Ethics of AI and Cybersecurity When Sovereignty is at Stake. Minds and Machines 29: 635-645.
- 10. Gill, Amandeep Singh. 2018. Artificial Intelligence and International Security: The Long View. Ethics & International Affairs 33 (2): 169-179.
- 11. Calderaro, Andrea & Anthony J. S. Craig. 2020. Transnational Governance of Cybersecurity: Policy Challenges and Global Inequalities in Cyber Capacity Building. Third World Quarterly 41 (6): 917-938.
- 12. Vestergaard, Cindy, and Lovely Umayam. 2022 Blockchain beyond cryptocurrency: A revolution in information management and international security, Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, 78:4, 198-202.
- 13. Goldfarb, Avi and Jon R. Lindsay. 2021/22. Prediction and Judgment: Why Artificial Intelligence Increases the Importance of Humans in War. *International Security*, Vol. 46 (3): 7–50.
- 14. Hynek, Nik and Anzhelika Solovyeva. 2021. Operations of power in autonomous weapon systems: ethical conditions and socio-political prospects. AI & SOCIETY 36:79–99.

Theme 13/ April 12: Global Health Security

- 1. Howell, Alison. 2014. The Global Politics of Medicine: Beyond global health, against Securitisation Theory. <u>Review of International Studies</u> 40: 961–987.
- 2. Elbe, Stefan. 2014. The Pharmaceuticalisation of Security: Molecular Biomedicine, Antiviral Stockpiles, and Global Health Security. Review of International Studies 40: 919-938.
- 3. Myers, Nathan. 2018. Global Health Security is Global Security: The Lessons of Clade X. <u>World Affairs</u> Winter: 403-412.
- 4. du Plessis, Gitte.2018. When pathogens determine the territory: Toward a concept of non-human borders. <u>European Journal of International Relations</u> 24 (2): 391–413;
- 5. Youde, Jeremy. 2018. The Securitization of Health in the Trump Era. <u>Australian Journal Of International Affairs</u> 72 (6): 535–550.
- 6. Wenham, Clare. 2019. The Oversecuritization of Global Health: Changing the Terms of Debate. <u>International Affairs</u> 95 (5): 1093-1110.
- 7. Borsa, Sergiu Viorel.2019. Public Health in the Framework of the International Security. A Constructive Approach. <u>Sciendo Acta Medica Marisiensis</u> 65 (1):3-6,
- 8. Reich, Simon and Peter Dombrowski. 2020. The Consequence of COVID-19: How the United States Moved from Security Provider to Security Consumer. <u>International Affairs</u> 96 (5): 1253–1279.

- 9. Yaya, Sanni, Akaninyene Otu, and Ronald Labonté. 2020. Globalisation in the Time of COVID-19: Repositioning Africa to Meet the Immediate and Remote Challenges. Globalization and Health 16 (51): 1-7.
- 10. Wilson, Kumanan, Sam Halabi, and Lawrence O. Gostin. 2020. The International Health Regulations (2005), the Threat of Populism and the COVID-19 Pandemic. <u>Globalization and Health</u> 16 (70):1-4.
- 11. Von Münchow, Sebastian. 2020. The Security Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic. Connections: The Quarterly Journal 19 (2): 5-9.

12.

Theme 14/ April 19: Great Powers and Security

- 1. Wohlforth, William C. 2009. Unipolarity, Status Competition, and Great Power War. World Politics 61 (1): 28-57.
- 2. Finnemore, Martha. 2009. Legitimacy, Hypocrisy, and the Social Structure of Unipolarity: Why Being a Unipole Isn't All It's Cracked Up to Be. <u>World Politics</u> 61 (1): 58-85.
- 3. Schweller, Randall L. 2010. Entropy and the Trajectory of World Politics: Why Polarity Has Become Less Meaningful. <u>Cambridge Review of International Affairs</u> 23 (1): 145-163.
- 4. Haas, Mark L. 2014. Ideological Polarity and Balancing in Great Power Politics. Security Studies 23 (4): 715-753.
- 5. Rosato, Sebastian. 2014/2015. The Inscrutable Intentions of Great Powers. <u>International Security</u> 39 (3): 48-88.
- 6. Jones, Catherine. 2015. Great Powers, ASEAN, and Security: Reason for Optimism? <u>The Pacific Review</u> 28 (2): 259-280.
- 7. Brooks Stephen G. And William C. Wohlforth. 2015/2016. The Rise and Fall of the Great Powers in the Twenty-first Century: China's Rise and the Fate of America's Global Position. <u>International Security</u> 40 (3): 7-53.
- 8. Cypher, James M. 2016. Hegemony, Military Power Projection and US Structural Economic Interests in the Periphery. <u>Third World Quarterly</u> 37 (5): 800-817.
- 9. Markowitz, Jonathan N. and Christopher J. Fariss. 2018. Power, Proximity, and Democracy: Geopolitical Competition in the International System. <u>Journal of Peace Research</u> 55 (1): 78–93.
- 10. Parka, Jae Jeok and Erwin Tan. 2018. Exploiting Sino-US Geostrategic Competition: The View from Seoul and Singapore. <u>Asian Studies Review</u> 42 (3): 537-555.
- 11. Freeman, Carla P. 2018. China's 'regionalism foreign policy' and China-India relations in South Asia. <u>Contemporary Politics</u> 24 (1): 81-97.
- 12. Soriano, Juan Pablo. 2019. High expectations. Interregional agendas on global security challenges: East Asia, Europe and Latin America. Revista Brasileira de Política Internacional 62 (1): 1-24.
- **13.** Alden, Chris and Lu Jiang. 2019. Brave New World: Debt, Industrialization and Security in China–Africa Relations. <u>International Affairs</u> 95 (3): 641–657.
- 14. Baldaro, Edoardo. 2021. *Rashomon* in the Sahel: Conflict dynamics of security regionalism. Security Dialogue 52 (3): 266–283.

- 1. Leander, Anna. 2005. The Market for Force and Public Security: The Destabilizing Consequences of Private Military Companies. <u>Journal of Peace Research</u> 42 (5): 605-622.
- 2. Leander, Anna. 2005. The Power to Construct International Security: On the Significance of Private Military Companies. <u>Millennium: Journal of International Studies</u> 33 (3): 803-826.
- 3. Krahmann, Elke. 2008. Security: Collective Good or Commodity? <u>European Journal of International Relations</u> 14 (3): 379-404.
- 4. Williams, Michael C. 2010. The Public, the Private and the Evolution of Security Studies. Security Dialogue 41 (6): 623-630.
- 5. Abrahamsen, Rita and Michael C. Williams. 2011. Security Privatization and Global Security Assemblages. Brown Journal of World Affairs XVIII (1): 171-180.
- 6. Volinz, Lior. 2018. Governance through Pluralization: Jerusalem's Modular Security Provision. Security Dialogue 49 (6) 438–456.
- 7. Krahmann, Elke. 2016. NATO Contracting in Afghanistan: The Problem of Principal-Agent Networks. International Affairs 92 (6): 1401-1426.
- 8. Enns, Charis, Nathan Andrews and J. Andrew Grant. 2020. Security for whom? Analysing Hybrid Security Governance in Africa's Extractive sectors. <u>International Affairs</u> 96 (4): 995–1013.

Research Papers Presentations: Date TBD

IMPORTANT NOTES:

Students are expected to provide professional and respectful feedback on the quality of instruction in this course by completing course evaluations online via GatorEvals. Guidance on how to give feedback in a professional and respectful manner is available at https://gatorevals.aa.ufl.edu/students/. Students will be notified when the evaluation period opens, and can complete evaluations through the email they receive from GatorEvals, in their Canvas course menu under GatorEvals, or via https://ufl.bluera.com/ufl/. Summaries of course evaluation results are available to students at https://gatorevals.aa.ufl.edu/public-results/.

UF students are bound by The Honor Pledge which states, "We, the members of the University of Florida community, pledge to hold ourselves and our peers to the highest standards of honor and integrity by abiding by the Honor Code. On all work submitted for credit by students at the University of Florida, the following pledge is either required or implied: "On my honor, I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid in doing this assignment." The Conduct Code specifies a number of behaviors that are in violation of this code and the possible sanctions. Click here to read the Conduct Code. If you have any questions or concerns, please consult with the instructor or TAs in this class.

Students are allowed to record video or audio of class lectures. However, the purposes for which these recordings may be used are strictly controlled. The only allowable purposes are (1) for personal educational use, (2) in connection with a complaint to the university, or (3) as evidence in, or in preparation for, a criminal or civil proceeding. All other purposes are prohibited. Specifically, students may not publish recorded lectures without the written consent of the instructor.

A "class lecture" is an educational presentation intended to inform or teach enrolled students about a particular subject, including any instructor-led discussions that form part of the presentation, and delivered by any instructor hired or appointed by the University, or by a guest instructor, as part of a University of Florida course. A class lecture does not include lab sessions, student presentations, clinical presentations such as patient history, academic exercises involving solely student participation, assessments (quizzes, tests, exams), field trips, private conversations between students in the class or between a student and the faculty or lecturer during a class session.

Publication without permission of the instructor is prohibited. To "publish" means to share, transmit, circulate, distribute, or provide access to a recording, regardless of format or medium, to another person (or persons), including but not limited to another student within the same class section. Additionally, a recording, or transcript of a recording, is considered published if it is posted on or uploaded to, in whole or in part, any media platform, including but not limited to social media, book, magazine, newspaper, leaflet, or third party note/tutoring services. A student who publishes a recording without written consent may be subject to a civil cause of action instituted by a person injured by the publication and/or discipline under UF Regulation 4.040 StudentHonor Code and Student Conduct Code.

Campus Resources: Health and Wellness

U Matter, We Care: If you or someone you know is in distress, please contact <u>umatter@ufl.edu</u>, 352-392-1575, or visit <u>U Matter, We Care website</u> to refer or report a concern and a team member will reach out to the student in distress.

Counseling and Wellness Center: <u>Visit the Counseling and Wellness Center website</u> or call 352-392-1575 for information on crisis services as well as non-crisis services.

Student Health Care Center: Call 352-392-1161 for 24/7 information to help you find the care you need, or <u>visit the Student Health Care Center website</u>.

University Police Department: <u>Visit UF Police Department website</u> or call 352-392-1111 (or 9-1-1 for emergencies).

UF Health Shands Emergency Room / Trauma Center: For immediate medical care call 352-733-0111 or go to the emergency room at 1515 SW Archer Road, Gainesville, FL 32608; Visit the UF Health Emergency Room and Trauma Center website.

GatorWell Health Promotion Services: For prevention services focused on optimal wellbeing, including Wellness Coaching for Academic Success, visit the <u>GatorWell website</u> or call 352-273-4450.

In response to COVID-19, the following recommendations are in place to maintain your learning environment, to enhance the safety of our in-classroom interactions, and to further the health and safety of ourselves, our neighbors, and our loved ones.

- If you are not vaccinated, get vaccinated. Vaccines are readily available and have been demonstrated to be safe and effective against the COVID-19 virus. Visit one.uf for screening / testing and vaccination opportunities.
- If you are sick, stay home. Please call your primary care provider if you are ill and need immediate care or the UF Student Health Care Center at 352-392-1161 to be evaluated.
- Course materials will be provided to you with an excused absence, and you will be given a reasonable amount of time to make up work.

Academic Resources

E-learning technical support: Contact the <u>UF Computing Help Desk</u> at 352-392-4357 or via e-mail at <u>helpdesk@ufl.edu.</u>

<u>Career Connections Center</u>: Reitz Union Suite 1300, 352-392-1601. Career assistance and counseling services.

<u>Library Support</u>: Various ways to receive assistance with respect to using the libraries or finding resources.

<u>Teaching Center</u>: Broward Hall, 352-392-2010 or to make an appointment 352- 392-6420. General study skills and tutoring.

<u>Writing Studio</u>: 2215 Turlington Hall, 352-846-1138. Help brainstorming, formatting, and writing papers.

Student Complaints On-Campus: Visit the Student Honor Code and Student Conduct Code webpage for more information.

On-Line Students Complaints: View the Distance Learning Student Complaint Process.