

INR 6337 - Class 24911 - Section 213B - UF - SPRING 2021
SURVEY OF INTERNATIONAL SECURITY
Meeting Time: Wed: Periods 2-4 through Zoom
(see Calendar on canvas for link)
F2F Room: MAT 103

Prof. Badredine Arfi
Phone: (352) 273 2357
email: barfi@ufl.edu
Zoom Office hours: Wed 2:00-4:00pm

DESCRIPTION

This course is designed as a focused/limited introductory survey to a variety of approaches and topics in the study of international security. The rationale for the course teaching strategy is rooted in a concern that it has become a “fact of life” in the study of international relations (IR) and international security (IS) that IR/IS courses would normally be expected to begin by spending a number of weeks “recycling” different brands or variations of realist, (neo-)liberal, and mainstream (thin) constructivist thinking, etc. This course does not follow such a path. Therefore, as a way of alerting students to these lingering issues as well as equipping them with effective tools which they will definitely find useful as future scholars dealing with IR/IS issues, this course focuses on the value-added of many bodies of literature in IR/IS and how they have transpired specifically in the scholarship about international security. Overall *Security Studies* as a discipline has evolved into a collection of approaches, most (if not all) of which are united by a profound dissatisfaction with so-called traditional security studies by constantly questioning the foundations upon which the dominant state-centrism and military-centrism of security is built and the lack of attention to a much broader definition of security. The course thus seeks to explicate key assumptions underpinning many of these approaches as well as explore just how and in what ways they challenge traditional security studies, and in what ways they compare and contrast with each other. The course does this using an issue-areas based strategy so that we simultaneously consider these issue-areas and how various theoretical approaches and schools of IR/IS theory investigate them.

REQUIREMENTS

- Students are required to “digest” thoroughly the weekly readings before coming to class and come prepared to fully discuss the readings in depth and share their insights with the rest of the class.
- Each student is required to make a number of power point presentations and lead the class discussions therewith.
- Each student is required to submit two questions every week on the required readings to be shared with the class. The questions are to be uploaded to canvas I a file every week prior to class. No late submission will be accepted.
- Students are required to write a research paper on a topic of international security of their choice using one (or more) of the approaches examined in the course. Please see details down below.
- All students will be presenting their respective research papers to the class at a date/time to be specified later.

GRADING POLICY

- Presentations: 40% of the final grade.
- Research paper: 40% of the final grade
- Weekly questions: 10% of the final grade.
- Presenting the research paper: 10% of the final grade

Note: Late papers will not be accepted except for document-justified reasons.

REQUIRED READINGS

1. Articles and book chapters posted on canvas (organized by themes and posted in a 'Required' folder per each week).
2. Note that there is a set of additional recommended readings for each week (posted in 'Recommended' folders). Students are highly encouraged to read at least some of these if only in a brief manner.

SPECIFICS ON THE RESEARCH PAPER

In order for the instructor to provide timely and useful guidance on the research paper, students will be required to turn in through canvas various brief intermediate papers throughout the semester.

Each student must:

1. Define a research question that interests him/her and that applies a security approach (broadly speaking) from the materials covered in this course. Submit the research question and an abstract. **Date: Feb 3rd**
2. Submit a 2-3 page summary of the proposed research and expected results. **Date: Feb 17th**
3. Submit a 6-8 page paper discussing the relevant literature to the research question (empirical as well as theoretical). **Date: March 17th**
4. The final paper should be 15-20 pages long, including the bibliography. **Date: TBA**

IMPORTANT NOTES

- The instructor reserves the right to change any part or aspect of this document should a need for doing so emerge at any point in time during the semester.
- All students are required to abide by UF standards of academic honesty laid out in the Student Honor Code, posted at <http://www.dso.ufl.edu/sccr/process/student-conduct-honor-code/>

READING ASSIGNMENTS

Theme 1 / January 13: What Is Security? Part I

1. Herz, John H. 1950. Idealist Internationalism and the Security Dilemma. World Politics 2 (2):157-180.
 2. Walt, Stephen. 1991. The Renaissance of Security Studies. International Studies Quarterly 35 (2): 211-239.
 3. David A. Baldwin. 1997. The Concept of Security. Review of International Studies 23: 5-26.
 4. Huysmans, Jef. 1998. Security! What Do You Mean? From Concept to Thick Signifier. European Journal of International Relations 4 (2): 226-255.
 5. Eriksson, Johan. 1999. Observers or Advocates? On the Political Role of Security Analysts Cooperation and Conflict 34 (3): 311-330.
 6. Liotta, P. H. 2000. Through the Looking Glass: Creeping Vulnerabilities and the Reordering of Security. Security Dialogue 36 (1): 49-70.
 7. Farrell, Theo. 2002. Constructivist Security Studies: Portrait of a Research Program. International Studies Review 4 (1: Spring): 49-72.
-

Theme 2/ January 20: What is Security? Part II.

1. Beier, J. Marshall and Samantha L. Arnold. 2005. Becoming Undisciplined: Toward the Supradisciplinary Study of Security. International Studies Review 7: 41-61.
 2. Barkawi, Tarak and Mark Laffey. 2006. The Postcolonial Moment in Security Studies. Review of International Studies 32: 329-352.
 3. Krahnemann, Elke. 2008. Security: Collective Good or Commodity? European Journal of International Relations 14 (3): 379-404.
 4. Anderson, Ben. 2011. Affect and Security: Exercising Emergency in 'UK Civil Contingencies'. Environment and Planning D: Society and Space 29: 1092-1109.
 5. Gjørsv, Gunhild Hoogensen. 2012. Security by any Other Name: Negative Security, Positive Security, and a Multi-Actor Security Approach. Review of International Studies 38: 835-859.
 6. Silina, Everita. 2016. "Being Critical About Security: What Critical Political Economy Says about Security and Identity." In: Cafruny A., Talani L., Pozo Martin G. (eds) The Palgrave Handbook of Critical International Political Economy, 163-180. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
 7. True, Jacqui and Antje Wiener. 2019. Everyone Wants (a) Peace: The Dynamics of Rhetoric and Practice on 'Women, Peace and Security'. International Affairs 95 (3): 553-574.
 8. Lusk, Adam. 2019. Moving Beyond the CNN Effect or Stuck in the Middle? How Relational Sociology Remaps Media and Security Studies. International Studies Review 21: 1-11.
-

Theme 3/ January 27: Logics of Might/Power, and Stories

1. Glaser, Charles. 1994-95. Realists as Optimists: Cooperation as Self-Help. International Security 19 (Winter): 50-90.

2. Suganami, Hidemi. 1997. Stories of War Origins: A Narrativist Theory of the Causes of War. Review of International Studies 23: 401-418.
 3. Walt, Stephen M. 1999. Rigor or Rigor Mortis? Rational Choice and Security Studies. International Security 23 (4): 5-48.
 4. Atkinson, Carol. 2006. Constructivist Implications of Material Power: Military Engagement and the Socialization of States, 1972-2000. International Studies Quarterly 50: 509-537.
 5. Tang, Shiping. 2009. The Security Dilemma: A Conceptual Analysis. Security Studies 18 (3): 587-623.
 6. Schweller, Randall L. 2010. The logic and Illogic of the Security Dilemma and Contemporary Realism: A Response to Wagner's Critique. International Theory 2 (2): 288-305.
 7. Kim, Tongfi. 2011. Why Alliance Entangle but Seldom Entrap States. Security Studies 20 (3): 350-377.
 8. Hamilton, Eric J. and Brian C. Rathbun. 2013. Scarce Differences: Toward a Material and Systemic Foundation for Offensive and Defensive Realism. Security Studies 22:436-465.
 9. Goddard, Stacie E. 2018. The Politics of Legitimacy: How a Rising Power's Right Makes Might. In: When Right Makes Might: Rising Powers and World Order, 16-46. Cornell University Press.
-

Theme 4/ February 3: Terrorism – Phenomena, Discourses, Strategies, Policies

1. Pape, Robert A. 2003. The Strategic Logic of Suicide Terrorism. American Political Science Review 97 (3): 343-361.
 2. Kydd, Andrew H. and Barbara Walter. 2006. Strategies of Terrorism. International Security 31 (1): 49-80.
 3. Moghadam, Assaf. 2006. Suicide Terrorism, Occupation, and the Globalization of Martyrdom: A Critique of Dying to Win. Studies in Conflict and Terrorism 29 (8): 707-729.
 4. Piazza, James A. 2008. Incubators of Terror? Do Failed and Failing States Promote Transnational Terrorism. International Studies Quarterly 52 (3): 469-488.
 5. Horowitz, Michael C. 2010. Nonstate Actors and the Diffusion of Innovations: The Case of Suicide Terrorism. International Organization 64 (1): 33-64.
 6. Chowdhury, Arjun and Ronald R. Krebs. 2010. Talking about Terror: Counterterrorist Campaigns and the Logic of Representation. European Journal of International Relations 16 (1): 125-150.
 7. Zech, Steven T. and Michael Gabbay. 2016. Social Network Analysis in the Study of Terrorism and Insurgency: From Organization to Politics. International Studies Review 18: 214-243.
 8. Jarvis, Lee and Tim Legrand. 2017. 'I Am Somewhat Puzzled': Questions, Audiences and Securitization in the Proscription of Terrorist Organizations. Security Dialogue 48 (2) 149-167.
-

Theme 5/ February 10: Security Institutions

1. Mearsheimer, John J. 1994/1995. The False Promise of International Institutions. International Security 19 (3): 5-49.
2. Kupchan, Charles and Clifford Kupchan. 1995. The Promise of Collective Security. International Security 20 (1): 52-61.

3. Alagappa, Muthiah. 1997. Regional Institutions, the UN and International Security: A Framework for Analysis. Third World Quarterly 18 (3): 421- 441.
 4. Bøås, Morten. 2000. Security Communities: Whose Security? Cooperation and Conflict 35 (3): 309–319.
 5. Lake, David A. 2001. Beyond Anarchy: The Importance of Security Institutions. International Security 26 (1): 129-160.
 6. Pouliot, Vincent. 2008. The Logic of Practicality: A Theory of Practice of Security Communities. International Organization 62 (2): 257-288.
 7. Engelbrekt, Kjell. 2016. “A Puzzle and Conceptual Framework.” In: High-Table Diplomacy: The Reshaping of International Security Institutions, chap. 1. Wash DC: Georgetown University Press.
 8. Oates, John G. 2016. The Fourth Face of Legitimacy: Constituent Power and the Constitutional Legitimacy of International Institutions. Review of International Studies 43 (2): 199–220.
 9. Feraru, Atena S. 2018. Regime Security and Regional Cooperation among Weak States. International Studies Review 20: 101–126.
 10. Haftel, Yoram Z. and Stephanie C. Hofmann. 2019. Rivalry and Overlap: Why Regional Economic Organizations Encroach on Security Organizations. Journal of Conflict Resolution 63 (9); 2180–2206.
 11. Karlsrud, John and Yf Reykers. 2020. Ad hoc Coalitions and Institutional Exploitation in International Security: Towards a Typology. Third World Quarterly 41 (9): 1518-1536.
-

Theme 6/ February 17: Ontological Security

1. Mitzen, Jennifer. 2006. Ontological Security in World Politics: State Identity and the Security Dilemma. European Journal of International Relations 12 (3): 341–370.
2. Steele, Brent J. 2008. Ontological Security in International Relations: Self-identity and the IR State. New York: Routledge; chaps. 1-3.
3. Rossdale, Chris. 2015. Enclosing Critique: The Limits of Ontological Security. International Political Sociology 9:369–386.
4. Subotic, Jelena. 2016. Narrative, Ontological Security, and Foreign Policy Change. Foreign Policy Analysis 12: 610–627.
5. Shani, Giorgio. 2017. Human Security as Ontological Security: A Post-Colonial Approach. Postcolonial Studies 20 (3): 275–293.
6. Browning, Christopher S. and Pertti Joenniemi. 2017. Ontological Security, Self-articulation and the Securitization of Identity. Cooperation and Conflict 52 (1): 31–47.
7. Pratt, Simon Frankel. 2017. A Relational View of Ontological Security in International Relations. International Studies Quarterly 61: 78–85.
8. Solomon, Ty. 2017. Ontological Security, Circulations of Affect, and the Arab Spring. Journal of International Relations and Development 21: 934–958.
9. Kinnvall, Catarina and Jennifer Mitzen. 2020. Anxiety, Fear, and Ontological Security in World Politics. International Theory 12: 238-239.
10. Kinnvall, Catarina and Jennifer Mitzen. 2020. Anxiety, Fear, and Ontological Security in World Politics: Thinking with and Beyond Giddens. International Theory 12: 240-256.
11. Rumelili, Bahar. 2020. Integrating Anxiety into International Relations Theory: Hobbes, Existentialism, and Ontological Security. International Theory 12: 257-272.
12. Arfi, Badredine. 2020. Security qua Existential Surviving (while Becoming Otherwise) through Performative Leaps of Faith. International Theory 12: 291–305.

Theme 7/ February 24: Securitization

1. Williams, Michael C. 2003. Words, Images, Enemies: Securitization and International Politics. International Studies Quarterly 47: 511-531.
 2. Balzacq, Thierry. 2005. The Three Faces of Securitization: Political Agency, Audience and Context. European Journal of International Relations 11 (2): 171-201.
 3. Stritzel, Holger. 2007. Towards a Theory of Securitization: Copenhagen and Beyond. European Journal of International Relations 13 (3): 357-383.
 4. Guzzini, Stefano. 2011. Securitization as a Causal Mechanism. Security Dialogue 42 (4-5):329-341.
 5. Roe, Paul. 2012. Is Securitization a 'Negative' Concept? Revisiting the Normative Debate over Normal versus Extraordinary Politics. Security Dialogue 43 (3): 249-266.
 6. Sheikh, Mona Kanwal. 2014. The Religious Challenge to Securitisation Theory. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 43 (1): 252-272.
 7. Rythoven, Eric Van. 2015. Learning to Feel, Learning to Fear? Emotions, Imaginaries, and Limits in the Politics of Securitization. Security Dialogue 46 (5): 458-475.
 8. Balzacq, Thierry, Sarah Léonard, and Jan Ruzicka. 2016. 'Securitization' Revisited: Theory and Cases. International Relations 30 (4): 494-531.
 9. Marchand, Marianne H. 2017. Crossing Borders in North America after 9/11: 'Regular' Travellers' Narratives of Securitisations and Contestations. Third World Quarterly 38 (6): 1232-1248.
 10. Oliveira, Gilberto Carvalho. 2017. The Causal Power of Securitisation: An Inquiry into the Explanatory Status of Securitisation Theory Illustrated by the Case of Somali Piracy. Review of International Studies 44 (3): 504-525.
 11. Guillaume, Xavier. 2018. How to Do Things with Silence: Rethinking the Centrality of Speech to the Securitization Framework. Security Dialogue 49 (6): 476-492.
 12. Stengel, Frank A. 2019. Securitization as Discursive (Re)Articulation: Explaining the Relative Effectiveness of Threat Construction. New Political Science 41 (2): 294-312.
 13. Choi, Eunyoung Christina and Seo Yeon Park. 2020. Threatened or Threatening?: Securitization of the Yemeni Asylum Seekers in South Korea. Asian Journal of Peacebuilding 8 (1): 5-28.
-

Theme 8/ March 3: Human Security

1. Paris, Roland. 2001. Human Security: Paradigm Shift or Hot Air? International Security 26 (2): 87-102.
2. Newman, Edward. 2001. Human Security and Constructivism. International Studies Perspectives 2: 239-251.
3. Hoogensen, Gunhild & Kirsti Stuvøy. 2006. Gender, Resistance and Human Security. Security Dialogue 37 (2): 207-228.
4. Christie, Ryerson. 2010. Critical Voices and Human Security: To Endure, To Engage or To Critique? Security Dialogue 41 (2): 169-190.
5. Kurusu, Kaoru. 2011. Japan as an Active Agent for Global Norms: The Political Dynamism Behind the Acceptance and Promotion of "Human Security". Asia-Pacific Review 18 (2): 115-137.
6. Owens, Patricia. 2012. Human Security and the Rise of the Social. Review of International Studies 38: 547-567.

7. Peterson, Jenny H. 2013. Creating Space for Emancipatory Human Security: Liberal Obstructions and the Potential of Agonism. International Studies Quarterly 57: 318-328.
 8. Caballero-Anthony, Mely. 2015. Community Security: Human Security at 21. Contemporary Politics 21 (1): 3-69.
 9. Sukarieh, Mayssoun and Stuart Tannock. 2018. The Global Securitisation of Youth. Third World Quarterly 39 (5): 854-870.
 10. Peou, Sorpong. 2019. Human Security after 25 Years: Some Introductory Remarks and Critical Reflections. Asian Journal of Peacebuilding 7 (2): 161-181.
 11. Howe, Brendan. 2019. Human Security, Peacebuilding, and the Responsibility to Protect in East Asia. Asian Journal of Peacebuilding 7 (2): 183-218.
 12. Aning, Kwesi and Ernest Ansah Lartey. 2019. Governance Perspectives of Human Security in Africa. Asian Journal of Peacebuilding 7 (2): 219-237.
 13. Berdal, Mats. 2019. Revisiting the “Responsibility to Protect” and the Use of Force. Asian Journal of Peacebuilding 7 (2): 237-264.
-

Theme 9/ March 10: Logic of Nuclear (In)Security

1. Tannenwald, Nina. 2005. Stigmatizing the Bomb: Origins of the Nuclear Taboo. International Security 29 (4): 5-49.
2. Becker, Una, et al. 2008. Democracy and Nuclear Arms Control – Destiny or Ambiguity? Security Studies 17 (4): 810-854.
3. Lieber, Keir A. and Daryl G. Press. 2013. Why States Won’t Give Nuclear Weapons to Terrorists. International Security 38 (1): 80-104.
4. Gavin, Francis J. 2015. Strategies of Inhibition Francis J. Gavin: U.S. Grand Strategy, the Nuclear Revolution, and Nonproliferation. International Security 40 (1, Summer): 9-46.
5. Das, Runa. 2017. A Post-colonial Analysis of India-United States Nuclear Security: Orientalism, Discourse, and Identity in International Relations. Journal of Asian and African Studies 52 (6): 741-759.
6. Gartzke, Erik and Matthew Kroenig. 2017. Social Scientific Analysis of Nuclear Weapons: Past Scholarly Successes, Contemporary Challenges, and Future Research Opportunities. Journal of Conflict Resolution 61 (9): 1853-1874.
7. Lupovici, Amir. 2019. Toward a Securitization Theory of Deterrence. International Studies Quarterly 63: 177-186.
8. Kopeč, Rafał. 2019. Space Deterrence: In Search of a “Magical Formula”. Space Policy 47: 121-129.
9. Mccrisken, Trevor and Maxwell Downman. 2019. ‘Peace through strength’: Europe and NATO deterrence beyond the US Nuclear Posture Review. International Affairs 95 (2): 277-295.
10. de Oliveira, Henrique Altemani. 2019. Japan: A Nuclear State? Revista Brasileira de Política Internacional 62 (1) : 1-21.
11. Khan, Zafar. 2019. Balancing and Stabilizing South Asia: Challenges and Opportunities for Sustainable Peace and Stability. International Journal of Conflict Management 30 (5): 589-614.
12. Gheorghe, Eliza. 2019. Proliferation and the Logic of the Nuclear Market. International Security 43 (4): 88-127.
13. Schneider, Jonas. 2020. The Study of Leaders in Nuclear Proliferation and How to Reinvigorate It. International Studies Review 22: 1-25.
14. Cohen, Michael D. and Aaron Rapport. 2020. Strategic Surprise, Nuclear Proliferation and US Foreign Policy. European Journal of International Relations 26 (2): 344-371.

15. Sukin, Lauren. 2020. Credible Nuclear Security Commitments Can Backfire: Explaining Domestic Support for Nuclear Weapons Acquisition in South Korea. Journal of Conflict Resolution 64 (6): 1011-1042.
-

Theme 10/ March 17: Peacekeeping, Peace Building and Intervention

1. Barnett, Michael. 2006. Building a Republican Peace: Stabilizing States After War. International Security 30 (4): 87-112.
 2. Autesserre, Séverine. 2009. Hobbes and the Congo: Frames, Local Violence, and International Intervention. International Organization 63 (2): 249-280.
 3. Paris, Roland. 2014. The 'Responsibility to Protect' and the Structural Problems of Preventive Humanitarian Intervention. International Peacekeeping 21 (5):569-603.
 4. Peter, Mateja. 2015. Between Doctrine and Practice: The UN Peacekeeping Dilemma. Global Governance 21: 351-370.
 5. Karim, Sabrina and Kyle Beardsley. 2016. Explaining Sexual Exploitation and Abuse in Peacekeeping Missions: The Role of Female Peacekeepers and Gender Equality in Contributing Countries. Journal of Peace Research 53 (1): 100-115.
 6. Randazzo, Elisa. 2016. The paradoxes of the 'Everyday': Scrutinising the Local Turn in Peace Building. Third World Quarterly 37 (8): 1351-1370.
 7. Donais, Timothy and Erin McCandless. 2017. International Peace Building and the Emerging Inclusivity Norm. Third World Quarterly 38 (2); 291-310.
 8. Sandler, Todd. 2017. International Peacekeeping Operations: Burden Sharing and Effectiveness. Journal of Conflict Resolution 61 (9): 1875-1897.
 9. Hegre, Håvard, Lisa Hultman, and Håvard Møkleiv Nygård. 2018. Evaluating the Conflict-Reducing Effect of UN Peacekeeping Operations. The Journal of Politics 81 (1): 215-232.
 10. Coleman Katharina P. and Benjamin Nyblade. 2018. Peacekeeping for profit? The scope and limits of 'mercenary' UN peacekeeping. Journal of Peace Research 55 (6): 726-741.
 11. Horne, Cale and Morgan Barney. 2019. The Impact of UN Peacekeeping Operations on Human Trafficking. International Migration 57 (5): 3-20.
 12. Jett, Dennis. 2020. Why Peacekeeping Fails. Middle East Policy XXVI (1): 89-96.
 13. Williams, Paul D. 2020. The Security Council's Peacekeeping Trilemma. International Affairs 96 (2): 479-499.
 14. Krishnan, S. 2020. UN Peacekeeping, Responsibility to Protect and Humanitarian Intervention. India Quarterly 76(1): 120-135.
-

Theme 11/ March 24: Energy Security

1. Glaser, Charles L. 2013. How Oil Influences U.S. National Security. International Security 38 (2): 112-146.
2. Colgan, Jeff D. 2013. Fueling the Fire Pathways from Oil to War. International Security 38 (2): 147-180.
3. Griffin, James M. 2015. Petro-Nationalism: The Futile Search for Oil Security. The Energy Journal 36: 25-41.
4. Lind, Jennifer and Daryl G. Press. 2018. Markets of Mercantilism? How China Secures Its Energy Supplies. International Security 42 (4): 170-204.
5. Krane, Jim and Kenneth B. Medlock III. 2018. Geopolitical Dimensions of US Oil Security. Energy Policy 114: 558-565.
6. Vakulchuk, Roman, Indra Overland and Daniel Scholten. 2020. Renewable energy and geopolitics: A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 122: 1-12.

7. Liu, Litao, Zhi Cao, Xiaojie Liu, Lei Shi, Shengkui Cheng, and Gang Liu. 2020. Oil Security Revisited: An Assessment Based on Complex Network Analysis. Energy 194: 1-13.
 8. Doran, Charles E. 2020. World Oil Security on a Precipice. Brown Journal of World Affairs XXVI (2): 1-15.
-

Theme 12/ March 31: Cyber Security

1. Kello, Lucas. 2013. The Meaning of the Cyber Revolution: Perils to Theory and Statecraft. International Security 38 (2): 7-40.
 2. Gartzke, Erik. 2013. The Myth of Cyberwar: Bringing War in Cyberspace Back Down to Earth. International Security 38 (2): 41-73.
 3. Lindsay, Jon R. 2014/2015. The Impact of China on Cybersecurity: Fiction and Friction. International Security 39 (3):7-47.
 4. Lobato, Luísa Cruz and Kai Michael Kenkel. 2015. Discourses of cyberspace securitization in Brazil and in the United States. Revista Brasileira de Política Internacional 58 (2): 23-43.
 5. Eun, Yong-Soo and Judith Sita Aßmann. 2016. Cyberwar: Taking Stock of Security and Warfare in the Digital Age. International Studies Perspectives 17: 343-360.
 6. Brantly, Aaron. 2017. Innovation and Adaptation in Jihadist Digital Security. Survival: Global Politics and Strategy 59 (1): 79-102.
 7. Wanglai, Gao. 2018. BRICS Cybersecurity Cooperation: Achievements and Deepening Paths. China International Studies January/February: 124-139.
 8. Ronald J. Deibert. 2018. Toward a Human-Centric Approach to Cybersecurity. Ethics & International Affairs 32 (4): 411-424.
 9. Timmers, Paul. 2019. Ethics of AI and Cybersecurity When Sovereignty is at Stake. Minds and Machines 29: 635-645.
 10. Gill, Amandeep Singh. 2018. Artificial Intelligence and International Security: The Long View. Ethics & International Affairs 33 (2): 169-179.
 11. Calderaro, Andrea & Anthony J. S. Craig. 2020. Transnational Governance of Cybersecurity: Policy Challenges and Global Inequalities in Cyber Capacity Building. Third World Quarterly 41 (6): 917-938.
-

Theme 13/ April 7: Global Health Security

1. Howell, Alison. 2014. The Global Politics of Medicine: Beyond global health, against Securitisation Theory. Review of International Studies 40: 961-987.
2. Elbe, Stefan. 2014. The Pharmaceuticalisation of Security: Molecular Biomedicine, Antiviral Stockpiles, and Global Health Security. Review of International Studies 40: 919-938.
3. Myers, Nathan. 2018. Global Health Security is Global Security: The Lessons of Clade X. World Affairs Winter: 403-412.
4. du Plessis, Gitte. 2018. When pathogens determine the territory: Toward a concept of non-human borders. European Journal of International Relations 24 (2): 391-413;
5. Youde, Jeremy. 2018. The Securitization of Health in the Trump Era. Australian Journal Of International Affairs 72 (6): 535-550.
6. Wenham, Clare. 2019. The Oversecuritization of Global Health: Changing the Terms of Debate. International Affairs 95 (5): 1093-1110.
7. Borsa, Sergiu Viorel. 2019. Public Health in the Framework of the International Security. A Constructive Approach. Sciendo Acta Medica Marisiensis 65 (1):3-6,

8. Reich, Simon and Peter Dombrowski. 2020. The Consequence of COVID-19: How the United States Moved from Security Provider to Security Consumer. International Affairs 96 (5): 1253-1279.
 9. Yaya, Sanni, Akaninyene Otu, and Ronald Labonté. 2020. Globalisation in the Time of COVID-19: Repositioning Africa to Meet the Immediate and Remote Challenges. Globalization and Health 16 (51): 1-7.
 10. Wilson, Kumanan, Sam Halabi, and Lawrence O. Gostin. 2020. The International Health Regulations (2005), the Threat of Populism and the COVID-19 Pandemic. Globalization and Health 16 (70):1-4.
 11. Von Münchow, Sebastian. 2020. The Security Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic. Connections: The Quarterly Journal 19 (2): 5-9.
-

Theme 14/ April 14: Great Powers and Security

1. Wohlforth, William C. 2009. Unipolarity, Status Competition, and Great Power War. World Politics 61 (1): 28-57.
 2. Finnemore, Martha. 2009. Legitimacy, Hypocrisy, and the Social Structure of Unipolarity: Why Being a Unipole Isn't All It's Cracked Up to Be. World Politics 61 (1): 58-85.
 3. Schweller, Randall L. 2010. Entropy and the Trajectory of World Politics: Why Polarity Has Become Less Meaningful. Cambridge Review of International Affairs 23 (1): 145-163.
 4. Haas, Mark L. 2014. Ideological Polarity and Balancing in Great Power Politics. Security Studies 23 (4): 715-753.
 5. Rosato, Sebastian. 2014/2015. The Inscrutable Intentions of Great Powers. International Security 39 (3): 48-88.
 6. Jones, Catherine. 2015. Great Powers, ASEAN, and Security: Reason for Optimism? The Pacific Review 28 (2): 259-280.
 7. Brooks Stephen G. And William C. Wohlforth. 2015/2016. The Rise and Fall of the Great Powers in the Twenty-first Century: China's Rise and the Fate of America's Global Position. International Security 40 (3): 7-53.
 8. Cypher, James M. 2016. Hegemony, Military Power Projection and US Structural Economic Interests in the Periphery. Third World Quarterly 37 (5): 800-817.
 9. Markowitz, Jonathan N. and Christopher J. Fariss. 2018. Power, Proximity, and Democracy: Geopolitical Competition in the International System. Journal of Peace Research 55 (1): 78-93.
 10. Parka, Jae Jeok and Erwin Tan. 2018. Exploiting Sino-US Geostrategic Competition: The View from Seoul and Singapore. Asian Studies Review 42 (3): 537-555.
 11. Freeman, Carla P. 2018. China's 'regionalism foreign policy' and China-India relations in South Asia. Contemporary Politics 24 (1): 81-97.
 12. Soriano, Juan Pablo. 2019. High expectations. Interregional agendas on global security challenges: East Asia, Europe and Latin America. Revista Brasileira de Política Internacional 62 (1): 1-24.
 13. Alden, Chris and Lu Jiang. 2019. Brave New World: Debt, Industrialization and Security in China-Africa Relations. International Affairs 95 (3): 641-657.
-

Theme 15/ April 21: Privatizing Security

1. Leander, Anna. 2005. The Market for Force and Public Security: The Destabilizing Consequences of Private Military Companies. Journal of Peace Research 42 (5): 605-622.
 2. Leander, Anna. 2005. The Power to Construct International Security: On the Significance of Private Military Companies. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 33 (3): 803-826.
 3. Krahnmann, Elke. 2008. Security: Collective Good or Commodity? European Journal of International Relations 14 (3): 379-404.
 4. Williams, Michael C. 2010. The Public, the Private and the Evolution of Security Studies. Security Dialogue 41 (6): 623-630.
 5. Abrahamsen, Rita and Michael C. Williams. 2011. Security Privatization and Global Security Assemblages. Brown Journal of World Affairs XVIII (1): 171-180.
 6. Volinz, Lior. 2018. Governance through Pluralization: Jerusalem's Modular Security Provision. Security Dialogue 49 (6) 438-456.
 7. Krahnmann, Elke. 2016. NATO Contracting in Afghanistan: The Problem of Principal-Agent Networks. International Affairs 92 (6): 1401-1426.
 8. Enns, Charis, Nathan Andrews and J. Andrew Grant. 2020. Security for whom? Analysing Hybrid Security Governance in Africa's Extractive sectors. International Affairs 96 (4): 995-1013.
-

Research Papers Presentations: Date TBA